Information on home prices and the situation in the residential and commercial real estate market in Poland\(^1\) in 2012 Q1

Summary
The analysis of the situation in the Polish real estate market in 2012 Q1 leads to the following conclusions:

- The analysed period was marked by the growing risk of production oversupply by real estate developers, which is likely to result in a faster than previously observed price adjustment and may trigger problems in the construction sector; yet, at the same time, the majority of trends observed in the housing market since the beginning of 2011 continued, which may be viewed as the market’s shift toward a new equilibrium with lower home prices and falling production costs;
- the share of profits in the price per square meter of housing was still attractive to real estate developers, especially smaller-sized ones; despite the already existing large stock of housing and home construction contracts in place, high industry exit costs and the absence of possibilities to engage in alternative investment urged real estate developers to embark on new construction projects; increased housing production might also be attributed to the *vacatio legis* of the new act on real estate development\(^2\);
- small nominal declines in home prices (both offer and transaction prices in the primary and secondary market) were noted in the largest cities; the sharpest declines in real terms were driven by rising wages and inflation;
- quarter-on-quarter growth in housing loan receivables from individuals was lower than during the past six years; the value of newly signed contracts hit its record low for the past three years; banks’ withdrawal from FX lending to households constituted an important factor supporting the security of the financial system;
- growing supply of housing contracts did not lead to an economic downturn in the real estate development sector; yet, growing supply and downwardly inflexible home prices might trigger sector problems in the future;
- the commercial real estate market saw a rise in the value of investment transactions, whereas capitalization on investment projects in prime locations remained stable.

The study provides a synthetic description of key developments affecting the housing market in Poland’s largest cities in 2012 Q1 and contains an appendix with charts and figures presenting: 1/ home prices (Figures 1-12), 2/ housing availability, loan availability, availability of loan-financed housing, loan limits and loan disbursements under the government-subsidized housing scheme *Family on their own* (Rodzina na Swoim - RNS) (Figures 13-24) 3/loan

\(^1\) The information was prepared by the Economic Institute for the NBP’s authorities needs and it presents the authors’ opinions. This document should not be read as an advisory material nor should be the basis for any investment decisions.

\(^2\) The Act of 16 September 2011 (Journal of Laws no. 232, item 1377) on the protection of home buyers’ rights, defines the real estate development contract and obliges the real estate developer to provide the buyer with appropriate protection measures.
disbursements and real interest rates (Figures 25-46), 4/ operating profitability of real estate development projects, costs of construction and assembly output and economic situation of real estate developers in Poland (Figures 47-67), 5/ residential construction in Poland (Figures 68-79), and 6/ commercial real estate (Figures 80-95).

This study was based on the data from the Real Estate Market Database BaRN³, the database of offer prices of housing provided by PONT Info Nieruchomości, databases SARFIN and AMRON of the Polish Banks’ Association (ZBP), collective data of the Credit Information Bureau (Biuro Informacji Kredytowej), data presented by Sekocenbud, the Central Statistical Office (GUS) and the National Bank of Poland (NBP). Offer prices of housing put on sale and information concerning profitability of real estate development projects were based on the data furnished by the Real Estate Advisory Service (REAS) and information supplied by the GUS (F01 and F02).

Information concerning the commercial real estate market⁴ was prepared on the basis of data provided, on a voluntary basis, by commercial real estate agents and commercial real estate management companies as well as companies involved in commercial real estate consultancy. The analysis also draws on the expertise of experts of various consulting companies and the NBP. The study relied on the data and information provided by the following consulting companies: CBRE, Colliers International, Cushman & Wakefield, DTZ, Jones Lang LaSalle, Ober Haus and associations: the Retail Research Forum of the Polish Council of Shopping Centres and the Warsaw Research Forum. The authors also used Comparables.pl data base.

------------------------

The main risk factor to be noted in 2012 Q1 is growing imbalance in the market of real estate developer housing in Poland’s largest cities (see Figure 73). This phenomenon, triggered by the lending boom and the cycle in Poland’s housing markets has been steadily intensifying since 2009, to reach now worrying levels. Contrary to the previous business cycle (observed in 2005-2009) which was primarily caused by the banking sector and foreign exchange loans, the currently observed business cycle is supply-driven and is the effect of downwardly inflexible prices, time lags between the investment decision and the investment project marketing as well as excessive optimism of real estate developers. At the same time, inflationary processes ease tensions resulting from price bubbles, therefore the risk of price bubble in the coming quarters is insignificant (see Figure 1- Figure 12 and Figure 77).

The supply-driven business cycle is the classical cycle in the real estate market which was already observed in Poland at the beginning of the first decade of the 21st century. It ended in a classical way, namely, production collapse, providing conditions for the recent economic boom (surge in demand following Poland’s entry into the European Union, coupled with low levels of housing production). Slight acceleration in demand, followed by its subsequent reduction on account of the government’s withdrawal from the government-subsidized housing scheme RNS at the end of 2012 seems a likely development. Yet, this will not have any significant impact on the existing surplus of housing on the market (see Figure 23 and Figure 24). We assume that the

³ The BaRN database of the National Bank of Poland is created thanks to voluntary provision of data by the real estate agents and real estate developers with the participation of regional branches of the NBP; the study analyses both home sales offers and transactions as well as home rentals within city limits of sixteen voivodeship cities, where the majority of real estate deals are closed.

⁴ The study focuses on modern commercial real estate as they are the object of transactions conducted by large real estate agents and since there is a strong and direct relationship between the scale of those transactions and the domestic economy. Introductory information on the commercial real estate market, definitions and in-depth analysis of major economic variables are presented in the NBP’s report: “Report on the situation in the residential and commercial real estate market in Poland in 2010”, available on the NBP’s website.
overall situation in the construction sector, especially its deterioration as a result of depletion of the pool of general construction contracts of the public sector is the factor boosting real estate developer optimism more strongly than the government-subsidized housing scheme RNS. General construction contracts, although hardly profitable, have always been considered by the construction industry and real estate development sector as safe. Currently, some construction companies are increasing their investment in the housing sector, which is fuelled by the availability of production factors and flexibility of construction companies and real estate development companies. At the same time, these companies, given persistently positive performance indicators of their housing projects, believe their prices will beat competitors. Another factor also affecting the pace of deterioration in the market situation, namely oversupply of new housing and falling profit margins (see Figure 47 and Figure 78, Figure 79), are decisions made by developers in the previous years, i.e. under different economic conditions. Consequently, the market abounds in unsold big apartments, built at high costs. So far, these phenomena affecting the primary market, are part of the normal real estate cycle rather than a crisis. This is due to a small impact of the real estate development sector on the economy, especially on the financial sector. The share of loans in the funding structure of large real estate developers in the recent years ranged between 10-20% (see Figure 65), and their share in banks’ portfolios did not exceed 0.5%. Crisis phenomena, thus strongly impacting the whole economy, are usually observed in the case of large scale tensions and accumulation of additional often external factors, undermining economic sustainability.

At the same time, the situation of the largest real estate developers continues to be sound, and the economic indicator analysis confirms the phenomena observed in the market (see Figure 59 - Figure 67). The decline in margins, i.e. falling share of profit in the price of housing, urged companies to make necessary adjustments (see Figure 51 - Figure 56 and Figure 60). The share of operating costs of a real estate development company (own costs) in the cost structure (see Figure 60 - Figure 64) shrank. Decline was also seen in the consumption of energy and materials for the company’s own use (see Figure 59). The higher share of wages and third party services (construction companies) is the consequence of expanding production scale (see Figure 61), boosting both costs and revenues. In the case of production increase, real estate developers cannot significantly cut personnel costs, particularly costs of staff engaged in investment project operation; yet, they can and do reduce material and energy costs.

Despite the increase in work in progress, real estate developers managed to improve both ROE and ROA ratios as compared to 2011 Q1; yet, these ratios continue to be worse than in the previous quarter (see Figure 61 - Figure 63). Also the structure of liabilities does not raise any major concerns. Companies are strongly capitalized, and almost half of the production is financed with equity. Bank lending accounts for approximately 12% of funding, and remains below PLN 4 billion in absolute terms (see Figure 67). Under these conditions, the steadily growing share of non-performing debts of real estate developers is of lesser concern (see Figure 66).

Quarter-on-quarter volume of lending went back to its 2005 levels, observed before the start of the credit boom. This is the consequence of the falling loan availability as a result of changes in their currency structure (see Figure 13 - Figure 22), new, more restrictive regulations, market participants' expectations of further falls in home prices and banks' concerns about these portfolios.

In general, housing demand is stable, since nominal interest rate increases and the related decline in credit availability are offset by declines in home prices in real terms, which means that

---

5 In classical terms, the real estate developer organises and finances the investment project, whereas the construction company executes the investment project. Amidst market tightening or very good economic conditions on the market, construction companies usually take over the real estate developers’ role.

6 See Chapter 4 and 5 in the “Information on home prices and the situation in the residential and commercial real estate market in Poland in 2010”, NBP
availability of loan-financed housing, being an approximation of the demand, was quite stable with a slight downward trend observed in 2012 Q1 (see Figure 76). Amidst growing supply of residential construction contracts (see Figure 72 and Figure 73), stable demand generates continuous growth in unsold housing on the market (see Figure 73). The shortened home selling period (see Figure 75), contrary to the opposite trend seen in the past two years, is probably driven by a certain acceleration in home sales amidst the government’s withdrawal from the subsidized housing scheme RNS and the intense promotion of real estate developers aiming to circumvent the provisions of the Act on the protection of home buyers’ rights.

Other processes in the sector were largely the continuation of past trends, i.e. search for a new long-term equilibrium between lending institutions, capital providers, real estate developers, the building sector and consumers of housing services.

Home transaction prices in real terms continued to fall (see Figure 4), amidst stable level or slight declines in transaction prices, including hedonic prices, i.e. quality-adjusted home prices (see Figure 7, Figure 8, Figure 12). In some cities, real estate developers attempted to increase price of housing newly put on the market (see Figure 1 and Figure 2); on the other hand, they also offered promotional price cuts for housing awaiting to be sold. Consequently, the average level of offer and transaction prices was slightly reduced.

The decline in loan availability was mainly due to the change in the loan structure and interest rate increases. In 2012 Q1 the first-time decline in foreign currency loans was observed, which means that the number of loans repaid or denominated in PLN exceeded the number of newly granted loans (see Figure 30). Real interest rates on zloty denominated loans (due to the inflation effect) continued to be low, whereas the inflation-driven decline was halted and the real costs of consumer loans followed an upward trend (see Figure 14). The nominal exchange rate of zloty denominated housing loans rose in 2012 Q1 (see Figure 35 and Figure 36). An increase was also recorded in margins charged on PLN loans, calculated both on interbank market rates, deposit rates and bond yields (see Figure 39). Margin decomposition in terms of risk structure shows an increase in loan risk premiums and a decline resulting from FX risk (see Figure 40). The analysis of the actual risk as compared to the risk components shows that the risk growth was not offset by the rise in risk premium (see Figure 40 - Figure 42).

The geographical breakdown of loans did not post any major changes (see Figure 31 and Figure 32).

Despite a decline in lending, return on housing loans increased slightly as a result of higher interest income amidst the fairly stable share of non-performing loans (see Figure 43, Figure 45, Figure 46). Due to the falling number of newly granted foreign currency loans, banks’ income from FX operations declined (see Figure 44).

These abovementioned processes going on in the real estate development and construction sectors were reflected in housing sector developments. In the housing structure, the share of residential housing built by real estate developers expanded (see Figure 68). Despite growing housing stock in the market, the number of building permits and commenced projects also picked up. This means there is a very high probability that the market of real estate developer contracts for housing construction faces growing supply and surplus of unsold construction contracts. Consequently, the period needed to reach the long-term equilibrium gets extended (see Figure 69 and Figure 73).

The situation in the market for housing construction contracts, which is the primary market in Poland, does not have a current impact on residential construction completions. In line with expectations, in 2012 Q1 the number of completed housing as compared to the previous year went up (see Figure 69). Having in mind the historical numbers of commenced investments, this trend is most likely to continue throughout the year and consequently, the number of completed housing is likely to increase.

The commercial real estate market in 2012 Q1 continued to be marked by processes started already in 2011. The value of investment in commercial real estates in 2012 Q1 reached EUR 0.7
billion, with the sale of Złote Tarasy Shopping Mall in Warsaw having a dominant share. Market participants expect capitalization rates in all the sectors to remain at their 2011 level. Combined with relatively stable rents in most markets, this may be indicative of a stable level of real estate prices (see Figure 80 - Figure 81). It should be remembered that so far the commercial real estate market has been dominated by foreign investors, whose investment decisions are largely conditioned by the developments in the euro area.

The situation in the office market did not change significantly (see Figure 82 - Figure 85). Growth in office space in Warsaw in 2012 Q1 amounted to 50 thousand square meters. As expected by Jones Lang LaSalle, in the entire 2012 the total of 250 thousand square meters of office space will be completed and put on the Warsaw market. The vacancy rate remains stable (see Figure 86 - Figure 87). According to the Cushman & Wakefield report, preliminary lease contracts have already been signed for a certain part of completed office space in Warsaw. At the same time, analysts point out that much of the built space is speculative in nature, that is, not secured by lease contracts. In view of the sluggish economic activity, namely limited growth in demand, it may boost the vacancy rate in the future. Rents in all markets remained stable, and increased slightly in Warsaw's CBD. According to the CBRE report, more than 1 million square meters of office space is under construction in Poland, of which 650 thousand square meters in Warsaw alone.

In the modern retail market, 2012 Q1 saw a slight, amounting to approx. 90 thousand square meters, increase in the size of retail space, which was located mainly outside large agglomerations, especially in cities and towns below 100 thousand inhabitants. According to the Colliers International report, shopping malls scheduled to open in 2012 will be rather small (10-30 thousand square meters). The market saw the continuation of the trend already started in 2011, which may result from the fact that market participants observe some level of market saturation in large cities and metropolitan areas (see DTZ report). In the absence of direct competition, and low prices of land in smaller cities, investors are more willing to take risks and invest in cities where they can not necessarily reckon with wealthy clients and which are strongly dependent on the economic situation. Since the second half of 2011, rents per square meter of retail space in prime locations remained stable (see Figure 88 - Figure 89). In 2012 Q1, the capitalization rate was further reduced (see Figure 91). Rents in the main streets in 2012 Q1 remained at the levels observed since mid-2011, whereas rents in Łódź and Wrocław, which from the beginning of 2009 followed a slight downward trend, levelled off. The highest rents varied considerably across cities. There were also major differences in rents between various shopping malls in those cities (see Figure 92 and Figure 93).

The warehouse market in 2012 Q1 recorded growth in warehouse space by 215 thousand square meters. According to the CBRE report, this accounts for 60% of the total warehouse space completed in 2011. Yet, allowance should be made for the base effect, since, a result of the crisis, warehousing space completed and put on the market in the years 2010 and 2011 was considerably below the 2007-2009 level. Most of warehousing space (65%) was built-to-suit, which greatly facilitated solicitation of new tenants. As expected by Cushman & Wakefield new warehouse space supply in the whole of 2012 will be moderate. In the majority of markets, rents for warehouse space remain stable (see Figure 94 and Figure 95).

---

7 Cushman & Wakefield „Marketbeat Office Snapshot Poland – Q1 2012”.
8 Collier International “Real Estate Review – 2012 Q1 – Commercial Market”
9 DTZ Property Times “Poland – Shopping centres in medium-sized cities. Steady growth of interest”, December 2011
10 CBRE “MarketView Warsaw & Poland Property 2012 Q1”.
11 Cushman & Wakefield „Marketbeat Industrial Snapshot Poland – Q1 2012”.
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Appendix

1. Offer, transaction and hedonic\textsuperscript{12} prices of housing, primary market and secondary market

Figure 1 Offer prices per square meter of housing – primary market

Source: PONT Info Nieruchomości.

Figure 2 Offer prices per square meter of housing as per new contracts – primary market

Source: REAS.

Figure 3 Offer prices per square meter of housing – secondary market

Source: PONT Info Nieruchomości.

Figure 4 Weighted average\textsuperscript{*} price per square meter of housing and CPI-deflated price (2006 Q3 =100) – primary market, transaction

Source: NBP.

7 cities: Warsaw, Cracow, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź, Gdańsk, Gdynia;
10 cities: Białystok, Bydgoszcz, Kielce, Katowice, Lublin, Olsztyn, Opole, Rzeszów, Szczecin, Zielona Góra;

\textsuperscript{12}The hedonic price index is a measure reflecting the price change resulting from factors other than differences in housing quality. The index accounts for changes in the quality of housing in the empirical samples in each period.
Figure 5 Transaction prices per square meter of housing – primary market

Figure 6 Transaction prices per square meter of housing – secondary market

Source: NBP.

Figure 7 Average transaction price per square meter of housing, and price adjusted with the hedonic index\(^{12}\) – secondary market

Source: NBP.

Figure 8 Average transaction price per square meter of housing, and price adjusted with the hedonic index\(^{12}\) – secondary market

Source: NBP.

Figure 9 Growth (y/y) in average prices per square meter of housing – primary market, offers and transactions

Source: NBP.

Figure 10 Growth (y/y) in average prices per square meter of housing – secondary market, offers and transactions

Source: NBP.

7 cities: Warsaw, Cracow, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź, Gdańsk, Gdynia;
10 cities: Białystok, Bydgoszcz, Kielce, Katowice, Lublin, Olsztyn, Opole, Rzeszów, Szczecin, Zielona Góra;
Source: NBP (transactions), PONT Info Nieruchomości (offers).
Figure 11 Average weighted* price per square meter of housing – primary market, transactions

Figure 12 Average weighted* price per square meter of housing and hedonic price – existing stock, transactions

Note: * Price weighted with the share of housing in the housing stock;
Source: NBP.
2. Housing availability, loan availability, availability of loan-financed housing

Figure 13: Housing availability in terms of a square meter of housing for an average wage in the enterprise sector.

Figure 14: Costs of PLN housing loans for consumer as deflated with CPI or wage growth.

Housing availability – a measure of the potential ability to purchase housing space at offer prices for an average monthly wage in the enterprise sector; it expresses the number of square metres of housing with an average offer price in a particular market (PONT Info), that can be purchased for an average wage in the enterprise sector in a particular city (GUS).

Source: NBP, GUS, PONT Info Nieruchomości.

Figure 15: Available housing loan in PLN (in number of average wage in the enterprises sector).

Figure 16: Availability of loan-financed housing per square meter of housing (in the case of PLN loan).

Availability of loan-financed housing – a measure, specifying how many square metres of housing may be purchased at an average offer price in a particular market (PONT Info), for a housing loan obtained basing on an average monthly wage in the enterprises sector in a particular market (GUS), in view of bank’s lending requirements and loan parameters (interest rate, depreciation period, social minimum understood as the minimum income after payment of loan instalments). The pace of changes of the index and differences between particular markets provide important information.

Source: NBP, GUS, PONT Info Nieruchomości.
Figure 17 Available housing loans in CHF (in PLN thousand)

Figure 18 Availability of loan-financed housing per 1 square meter of housing (in the case of CHF-denominated loan)

Source: NBP, GUS, PONT Info Nieruchomości.

Figure 19 Available housing loans in EUR (in PLN thousand)

Figure 20 Availability of loan-financed housing per 1 square meter of housing (in the case of EUR-denominated loan)

Source: NBP, GUS, PONT Info Nieruchomości.

Figure 21 Available weighted housing loans ¹³ (in PLN thousand)

Figure 22 Availability of loan-financed housing per square meter of housing (in the case of weighted loans ¹³)

Source: NBP, GUS, PONT Info Nieruchomości.

¹³ Loans weighted with FX structure of the quarterly increase in housing loans to individuals;

Source: NBP, GUS, PONT Info Nieruchomości.
Figure 23 Gap between the limits under the government-subsidized housing scheme RNS and the median transaction price in six cities – primary market

Source: NBP, BGK.

Figure 24 Loan disbursements under the government-subsidized housing scheme RNS

Source: BGK.
3. Disbursement of housing loans, interest rates

Figure 25 Structure of loans in the banking sector

Figure 26 Structure of deposits in the banking sector

Source: NBP.

Figure 27 Banking sector funding gap in Poland (actual situation in PLN million)

Source: NBP

Figure 28 Banking sector funding gap in Poland (quarter-on-quarter changes in PLN million)

Source: NBP

*/* Households and enterprises

Source: NBP.

Figure 29 Quarter-on-quarter increases in housing loan receivables from individuals in FX adjusted terms (in PLN million)

Source: NBP.

Figure 30 Currency structure of quarter-on-quarter increases in housing loan receivables from individuals in FX adjusted terms (in PLN million)

Source: NBP.
Figure 31 Geographical breakdown of new housing loans in Poland, in the first quarters

Note: BIK data do not cover the total of housing loans
- **6 cities**: Warsaw, Cracow, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź, Gdańsk;
- **10 cities**: Białystok, Bydgoszcz, Kielce, Katowice, Lublin, Olsztyn, Opole, Rzeszów, Szczecin, Zielona Góra;

**Source**: NBP based on BIK data.

Figure 32 New housing loans in Poland’s six largest cities, in the first quarters

**Source**: NBP based on BIK data.

Figure 33 Structure of housing loan receivables from individuals (in %)

**Source**: NBP.

Figure 34 New housing loans in terms of values and quantities, quarter-on-quarter changes (in PLN billion)

**Source**: ZBP.

Figure 35 Interest rates in the financial market in Poland

**Source**: NBP.

Figure 36 Interest rates on housing loans to households in Poland

**Source**: NBP.
Figure 37 Nominal interest rates on household deposits in Poland

![Nominal interest rates on household deposits in Poland](image)

*Source: NBP.*

Figure 38 Real\(\dfrac{1}{2}\) interest rates on household deposits in Poland

![Real\(\dfrac{1}{2}\) interest rates on household deposits in Poland](image)

*\(\dfrac{1}{2}\)net of tax on capital gains, CPI deflated

Figure 39 Bank margins (to WIBOR, LIBOR, EURIBOR 3M) on new housing loans

![Bank margins (to WIBOR, LIBOR, EURIBOR 3M) on new housing loans](image)

*Bank margin is the difference between housing loan rate (NBP) and LIBOR3MCHF rate, LIBOR3MEUR rate or WIBOR3M rate;\n\(^*\)Risk assessment: for PLN denominated loans (PLN housing loan rate minus Treasury bond rate 10L), for CHF – denominated loans (CHF loan rate minus PLN loan risk assessment minus LIBOR3MCHF), for EUR denominated loans (EUR loan rate minus PLN loan risk assessment minus LIBOR3MEUR);\n*Source: NBP.*

Figure 40 New housing loan risk assessment \(^*\) by banks

![New housing loan risk assessment \(^*\) by banks](image)

*Source: NBP.*

Figure 41 Theoretical credit risk and its actual level and the share of outstanding loans

![Theoretical credit risk and its actual level and the share of outstanding loans](image)

*Source: NBP.*

Figure 42 FX risk premium and its actual level

![FX risk premium and its actual level](image)

*Source: NBP.*
Percentage share of substandard/non-performing housing loans (i.e. in arrears for 91-180 days) in the total of housing loans of a particular city and the average level for the six cities; up-to-date data as at the end of first quarters;

*/* average weighted with the city’s share in loan increase;

Source: NBP based on BIK data.

Income and costs related to housing loan portfolio. Estimated ROE* (Return on Equity) is calculated as the adjusted interest margin on housing loans with respect to the minimum own capital required. The minimum own capital requirement is assessed on the basis on the LTV estimate derived from AMRON data and capital requirement for housing loans as set by the Polish Financial Supervisory Authority (KNF). The adjusted interest margin is the result of all income being added and all costs being deducted. Closing of foreign currency position and effective interest rate on loans bases on NBP calculations. The effective funding cost was computed based on WIBOR and LIBOR rates through adding the estimative costs of this operation.

Source: NBP, AMRON.
4. Operating rate of return on housing and real estate development projects, costs of construction and assembly production and economic situation of real estate developers in Poland

Figure 47 Cost structure and transaction price of 1 square meter of housing in the primary market in constant prices

![Graph showing cost structure and transaction price](image)

**Source:** NBP based on Sekocenbud, GUS.

Figure 48 Real-terms growth in the prices of selected housing production factors (December 2005 =100)

![Graph showing real-terms growth in the prices of selected housing production factors](image)

**Source:** NBP based on Sekocenbud, GUS.

Figure 49 Share of direct construction costs per square meter of the residential building’s usable area (type 1121 building) in a transaction price – primary market

![Graph showing share of direct construction costs](image)

**Source:** NBP based on Sekocenbud.

Figure 50 Share of real estate developer’s return in the price per square meter of the residential building’s usable area (type 1121 building) in a transaction price – primary market

![Graph showing share of real estate developer’s return](image)

**Source:** NBP based on Sekocenbud.

Building (type 1121) monitored by the NBP since the second half of 2004 as an average residential multi-family five-storey building with an underground parking space and retail premises on the ground-floor; traditional construction (over-ground part made from ceramic bricks); for the sake of convenience, it has been assumed that construction costs of 1 square meter of parking space and retail space are close to the costs of housing sold in shell condition; real price of 1 square meter of housing, based on construction costs, depends on the share of outer space [building common area], different for various building; when calculating the price of 1 square meter of usable housing area to be paid by consumer, we have assumed a 20% share of outer space [building common area] with respect to housing area and by this figure we have adjusted upward the price of 1 square meter of housing.

**Source:** NBP based on Sekocenbud.
In the legend to Figures 51-56 – aggregate gross profit of real estate developers (for the whole investment period) do not account for investment risk provisions;
Note: data in Figure 51-56 since 2008 Q2 are presented in quarter-on-quarter terms, previously annualized data;
Source: NBP based on Sekocenbud, REAS.

Source: NBP based on Sekocenbud, REAS.

Source: NBP based on Sekocenbud, REAS.

Source: NBP based on Sekocenbud, REAS.

Source: NBP based on Sekocenbud, REAS.
Figure 57 Expected changes in the price of construction and assembly production and growth in the costs of construction of the residential building’s usable area (type 1121 building)

Source: NBP based on data published by the GUS (business conditions survey), Sekocenbud.

Figure 58 Cost of construction of square meter of the residential building’s usable area (type 1121 building)

Source: NBP based on Sekocenbud.

Figure 59 Costs incurred by a typical large real estate development company (DF) in Q3

Source: NBP based on GUS (F01).

Figure 60 Share of own costs in the costs incurred by a large real estate development company (DF) and the share of real estate developer’s return in the price per square meter of housing in the primary market

Source: NBP based on GUS and Sekocenbud data.

Figure 61 Economic indicators of a typical large real estate company in the first quarters

Source: NBP based on GUS data (F01).

Figure 62 Situation of a typical large real estate development company in the first quarters

Source: NBP based on GUS data (F01).

---

18/ According to the GUS, a large company employs on average more than 50 persons;
Source: NBP based on GUS (F01).
Figure 63 Asset structure of a typical large real estate development company¹⁸/F in the first quarters

Source: NBP based on GUS data (F01).

Figure 64 Cost structure of a typical large real estate development company¹⁸/F in the first quarters

Source: NBP based on GUS data (F01).

Figure 65 Funding structure of a typical large real estate development company¹⁸/F in the first quarters

Source: NBP based on GUS (F01).

Figure 66 Quality of liabilities¹⁹/F of real estate development companies in the first quarters

Source: NBP based on GUS (F01).

Figure 67 Lending to real estate developers in the years 2002-2011 (in PLN billion)

Source: ZBP.
5. Residential construction and housing market in Poland in selected cities

Figure 68 Poland – ownership structure of residential construction in the first quarters in 2011 and 2012

Source: GUS.

Figure 69 Poland – completed housing in the first quarters

Source: GUS.

Figure 70 Poland – housing units under construction in the first quarters

Source: GUS.

Figure 71 Poland – permits issued for housing construction in the first quarters

Source: GUS.

Figure 72 Housing market indicator\(^{20}\) in Poland and in Poland’s six largest cities (*housing under construction minus completed and sold housing*)

Source: NBP based on PABB and GUS.

\(^{20}\)The index is a 12-month rolling number of dwellings; *
Poland’s six largest cities: Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk, Łódź, Poznań, Wrocław;

Source: REAS.

Figure 73 Number of housing units put on the market, both sold and offered for sale in Poland’s six largest markets\(^{7}\)

\(^{7}\)Poland’s six largest markets: Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk- Sopot-Gdynia agglomeration, Wrocław, Poznań, Łódź;

Source: REAS.
Figure 74 Growth in the average price per square meter of housing put on sale in the primary market in Poland’s six largest cities (2007 Q1=100)

Figure 75 Average home selling period (in days) in the primary market in Poland’s six largest cities

Figure 76 Availability of loan-financed housing versus housing units sold in Poland’s six largest cities (demand and supply estimates)

Figure 77 Transaction price index for an average housing unit in the primary market as compared to an average income (P/I – price to income ratio) in Poland’s six largest cities

Figure 78 Structure of supply and demand for housing with an area ≤ 50 square meters in the primary market in selected cities in Poland

Figure 79 Structure of supply and demand for housing with an area > 50 square meters in the primary market in selected cities in Poland

Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk-Sopot- Gdynia agglomeration, Wrocław, Poznań, Łódź;
Source: REAS.

Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź;
Source: NBP. Based on REAS.

Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź;
Source: NBP.

Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź;
Source: NBP.

Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź;
Source: NBP. Based on REAS.

Warsaw, Cracow, Gdańsk, Poznań, Wrocław, Łódź;
Source: NBP.

Source: NBP.

Source: GUS, NBP.

Source: NBP.

Source: NBP.

The structure of home sale offers has been adopted as an approximation of the supply structure; the structure of transactions has been adopted as an approximation of the demand structure;
Source: NBP.
6. Commercial real estate

Figure 80 Value of investment transactions (EUR million)

Figure 81 Capitalization rate on investments in commercial real estate in prime location

Note: The Q12012 data are estimations.
Source: Cushman & Wakefield.

Figure 82 Aggregate supply of modern office space (in millions square meters)

Figure 83 Office space vacancy rate in 6-month periods

Note: The 2012 data are estimative data.
Source: DTZ.

Figure 84 Rents (EUR/per square meters/per month) for office space in prime locations

Figure 85 Capitalization rate on investments in modern office space in prime locations

Source: DTZ.

Source: Cushman & Wakefield.
Figure 86 Annual supply of modern office space in Warsaw (square metres)

Data for 2012 are estimates by Jones Lang LaSalle. Source: Jones Lang LaSalle, WRF.

Figure 87 Vacancy rate in particular parts of Warsaw

Source: Jones Lang LaSalle, WRF.

Figure 88 Aggregate supply of modern retail space (in millions of square metres) in large agglomerations and in other parts of Poland

Source: Polish Council of Shopping Centres

Figure 89 Aggregate supply of modern retail space in large agglomerations (square meters per 1000 inhabitants)

Source: Polish Council of Shopping Centres

Figure 90 Rents (EUR/per square meter/per month) for retail space in prime locations

Source: Cushman & Wakefield.

Figure 91 Capitalization rate on investments in retail real estates in prime locations

Note: Capitalization rates for all the markets, except for the Warsaw market, were identical by the end of 2008. Source: Cushman & Wakefield.
Figure 92 Rents (EUR/per square meter/per month) in prime high streets in 2011

Source: Ober-Haus.

Figure 93 Highest rents (EUR/per square meter/per month) in prime high streets

Source: Cushman & Wakefield.

Figure 94 Aggregate supply of warehouse space in Poland’s regions (in millions of square meters)

Source: Cushman & Wakefield.

Figure 95 Rents (EUR/square meter/month) for warehouse space in prime locations

Source: Cushman & Wakefield.